

1 MICHAEL A. KELLY (State Bar #71460)
mkelly@walkuplawoffice.com
2 MATTHEW D. DAVIS (State Bar #141986)
mdavis@walkuplawoffice.com
3 KHALDOUN A. BAGHDADI (State Bar #190111)
kbaghdadi@walkuplawoffice.com
4 Walkup, Melodia, Kelly & Schoenberger
650 California Street, 26th Fl, San Francisco, Ca 94108
5 Tel: (415) 981-7210

6 JAY W. EISENHOFER (*pro hac vice* to be submitted)
jeisenhofer@gelaw.com
7 GEOFFREY C. JARVIS (*pro hac vice* to be submitted)
gjarvis@gelaw.com
8 DEBORAH ELMAN (*pro hac vice* to be submitted)
delman@gelaw.com
9 ADAM J. LEVITT (*pro hac vice* to be submitted)
alevitt@gelaw.com
10 CATHERINE Ó SÚILLEABHÁIN (*pro hac vice* to be submitted)
cosuilleabhairn@gelaw.com
11 GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.
30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1200, Chicago, Illinois 60602
12 Tel: (312) 214-0000

13 CHRISTOPHER M. JOE (pro hac vice to be submitted)
Chris.Joe@BJCIPlaw.com
14 ERIC W. BUETHER (pro hac vice to be submitted)
Eric.Buether@BJCIPlaw.com
15 BRIAN A. CARPENTER (State Bar #262349)
Brian.Carpenter@BJCIPlaw.com
16 MARK A. PERANTIE (pro hac vice to be submitted)
Mark.Perantie@BJCIPlaw.com
17 BUETHER JOE & CARPENTER, LLC
1700 Pacific, Suite 4750, Dallas, Texas 75201
18 Tel: (214) 466-1272
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF MAX SOUND CORPORATION

20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
21 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

22 MAX SOUND CORPORATION and
VEDANTI SYSTEMS LIMITED

Case No.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

24
25 V.
26 GOOGLE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and
ON2 TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

27 Defendants.

1 **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

2 Plaintiff Max Sound Corporation (“Max Sound”) and Co-Plaintiff pursuant to Rule 19 of
 3 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Vedanti Systems Limited (“VSL”) file this Complaint for
 4 patent infringement against Defendants Google, Inc. (“Google”), YouTube, LLC (“YouTube”),
 5 and On2 Technologies, Inc. (“On2”) (collectively “Defendants”) and allege as follows:

6 1. This case arises out of Defendants’ willful infringement of United States Patent No.
 7 7,974,339 and Defendants’ incorporation of this patented technology into products made, used,
 8 sold, offered for sale, and/or imported, including, but not limited to, VP8, VP9, WebM, YouTube,
 9 Google Adsense, Google Play, Google TV, Chromebook, Google Drive, Google Chromecast,
 10 Google Play-per-view, Google Glasses, Google+, Google’s Simplify, Google Maps and Google
 11 Earth. In short, Defendants’ infringement pervades virtually every website and product offered by
 12 Google and its Defendant subsidiaries.

13 2. Despite Google’s well-publicized Code of Conduct — “Don’t be Evil” — which it
 14 explains is “about doing the right thing,” “following the law,” and “acting honorably,” Google, in
 15 fact, has an established pattern of conduct that is the exact opposite of its claimed piety.

16 3. Indeed, time and time again, Google has willfully infringed the patents and used the
 17 proprietary information of others without offering to compensate the owners of those patents
 18 and/or proprietary information. This case is yet another of the many occasions in which Google
 19 has unlawfully taken, rather than developed for itself and/or paid for, valuable and proprietary
 20 technology that is core to the functioning of its many businesses and products.

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. Plaintiff Max Sound is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of
 23 business at 2902A Colorado Avenue, Santa Monica, California 90404.

24 2. Co-Plaintiff VSL, as the owner of the Asserted Patent, is named as a plaintiff
 25 pursuant to Rule 19 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. VSL is a British company having its
 26 principal place of business at 43 Overstone Road, London, United Kingdom W6 0AD.

27 3. Defendant Google is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of
 28 Delaware, and has a principal place of business at 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View,

1 California 94043 and/or is conducting business through an affiliate located at this address and may
 2 be served at this address.

3 4. On information and belief, Defendant YouTube is a limited liability company
 4 organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, and headquartered in San Bruno, California.
 5 YouTube is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Google. YouTube is in the business of the sharing and
 6 display of user-generated and corporate media video. Available content on YouTube includes
 7 video clips, TV clips, music videos, and other content such as video blogging, short original
 8 videos, and educational videos. YouTube may be served through its registered agent for service of
 9 process, Corporation Trust Company, at Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street,
 10 Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

11 5. On information and belief, Defendant On2 is a corporation organized under the
 12 laws of the State of Delaware, and has a principal place of business in Clifton Park, New York.
 13 On2 is wholly-owned subsidiary of Google. On2, formerly known as The Duck Corporation,
 14 engaged in the business of developing video compression technologies known as codecs. In
 15 February 2010, Google acquired On2 for an estimated \$124.6 million. On2 claims the authorship
 16 of a number of video codecs, including video codecs known as VP8 and VP9. On2 may be served
 17 through its registered agent for service of process, Corporation Service Company, at 2711
 18 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

20 6. This civil action for patent infringement arises under the Patent Laws of the United
 21 States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, *et seq.* This Court has jurisdiction over the claims presented herein
 22 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

23 7. Defendants make, import, use, sell, and/or offer for sale the Accused
 24 Instrumentalities (as defined below) within the United States, including this District, that infringe
 25 one or more claims of United States Patent No. 7,974,339 entitled “Optimized Data Transmission
 26 System and Method” (the “’339 Patent”). The ’339 Patent was duly and legally issued by the
 27 United States Patent and Trademark Office on July 5, 2011. A true and correct copy of the ’339
 28 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

1 8. The '339 Patent is referred to herein as the "Asserted Patent."

2 9. VSL is the owner by assignment of all rights, title, and interests in the Asserted
3 Patent, and is entitled to sue for past and future infringement thereof.

4 10. Max Sound and VSL have agreed that Max Sound shall have the right to enforce
5 VSL's patent rights on VSL's behalf.

6 11. A "codec" is a device or computer program capable of encoding or decoding a
7 digital data stream or signal.

8 12. Defendants are engaged in the business of developing, using, and selling a variety
9 of video computer programs, including those commonly referred to as the VP8, VP9, H.264, and
10 WebM video codecs (collectively the "Accused Codec Instrumentalities").

11 13. Defendants embed these Accused Codec Instrumentalities into products that
12 Defendants make, use, and sell, including in this District, such as the Android operating system
13 used in many mobile phones and tablet computers (collectively "the Accused Android
14 Instrumentalities").

15 14. Defendants use these Accused Codec Instrumentalities to deliver video content
16 from Defendants' websites and products such as VP8, VP9, WebM, YouTube.com, Google
17 Adsense, Google Play, Google TV, Chromebook, Google Drive, Google Chromecast, Google
18 Play-per-view, Google Glasses, Google+, Google's Simplify, Google Maps and Google Earth
19 (collectively the "Accused Website and Product Instrumentalities").

20 15. Defendants distribute software such as the Chrome web browser that implements
21 the Accused Codec Instrumentalities (collectively the "Accused Software Instrumentalities").

22 16. Collectively, the Accused Codec Instrumentalities, the Accused Android
23 Instrumentalities, the Accused Website and Product Instrumentalities, and the Accused Software
24 Instrumentalities comprise the "Accused Instrumentalities."

25 17. On information and belief, Defendants directly and/or indirectly import,
26 manufacture, use, offer for sale, and/or sell the Accused Instrumentalities within the United States,
27 including this District, that infringe one or more claims of the Asserted Patent.

28 18. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

1 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

2 **VSL and the '339 Patent**

3 19. In 2001, Constance Nash, one of the two named inventors of the '339 Patent, had
 4 the goal of offering to the public an Internet subscription service to deliver digitized video of
 5 musical concerts via the Internet.

6 20. After reviewing and testing numerous video compression and decompression
 7 technologies for use with such a subscription service, Ms. Nash concluded that none of the then-
 8 existing video compression technologies could provide the level of video quality necessary to
 9 launch the project.

10 21. The then-existing video standards resulted in jittery, low-quality video and sound
 11 for large-sized video files.

12 22. The available technologies relied solely on compression, *i.e.*, the encoding of
 13 digital information by reducing the number of bits in the representation, by identifying and
 14 deleting unnecessary bits (“lossy” compression).

15 23. Ms. Nash hired Alex Krichevsky to work for VSL. Together, Ms. Nash and
 16 Mr. Krichevsky conceived of the technology that ultimately became a video codec (the “VSL
 17 Codec”), and the inventions described in the '339 Patent. The VSL Codec was created by VSL
 18 employees and/or independent contractors working under the direction of Ms. Nash.

19 24. The VSL Codec implemented a proprietary and unique system of optimizing data
 20 transmission using methods for key frame partitioning, slicing and analyzing pixel variation of
 21 video content to significantly reduce the volume of digital video files, while minimizing any
 22 resulting loss of video quality.

23 25. Ms. Nash and Mr. Krichevsky filed United States and numerous other international
 24 patent applications that covered some of the methods and systems used in the VSL Codec.

25 26. Germane to this lawsuit, on January 16, 2002, Ms. Nash and Mr. Krichevsky filed
 26 the United States patent application that resulted in the issuance of the '339 patent.

27 **Google, H.264, and VP8**

28 27. During the mid- to late-2000s, video compression and streaming technology had

1 become integral to Google products, including but not limited to the YouTube.com website, the
 2 Chrome web browser, and the Android mobile device operating system.

3 28. Google and Google products began supporting a video codec known as H.264.

4 29. The first version of the H.264 codec was completed in 2003 by a standardization
 5 committee called the Joint Video Team, which was formed by the Video Coding Experts Group
 6 and the Moving Pictures Experts Group.

7 30. Since that time, H.264 has developed into a widely used codec with substantial
 8 penetration in the optical disc, broadcast, and streaming video markets.

9 31. MPEG LA, LLC (“MPEG LA”), a Colorado-based firm, licenses patent pools that
 10 cover essential patents necessary for use in various video codec standards. MPEG LA is not
 11 related to the Moving Pictures Experts Group.

12 32. MPEG LA was initially founded in the late 1990s by several international
 13 companies that owned patents necessary to practice the MPEG-2 video standard in order to pool
 14 those patents under a single entity for purposes of granting pooled licenses to those patents and to
 15 generate patent royalties.

16 33. Since that time, MPEG LA has asserted that multiple video standards, including
 17 H.264, require a license to its pooled patents, and hundreds of companies have obtained licenses
 18 from MPEG LA for the rights to the H.264 patent pool.

19 34. For many years Google refused to obtain a license from MPEG LA to cover its
 20 implementations of the H.264 standard, despite multiple notices from MPEG LA that Google
 21 required a license.

22 35. Rather than obtaining a license from MPEG LA for Google’s implementations of
 23 H.264, Google decided instead to seek alternatives to H.264 that would not require paying
 24 royalties to MPEG LA.

25 36. VP8 is a video compression standard released by Defendant On2 in September
 26 2008.

27 37. As of September 2008, MPEG LA had not established a patent pool that covered
 28 the VP8 codec.

1 38. In August 2009, Google targeted VP8 as a potential alternative to H.264 and
 2 initiated negotiations to acquire On2.

3 39. In February 2010, Google completed the acquisition of On2.

4 40. Through its acquisition of On2, Google obtained ownership of the VP8 codec and
 5 On2's patents and pending patent applications covering the VP8 codec, and possessed a potential
 6 alternative to avoid paying licensing royalties to MPEG LA.

7 41. In May 2010, Google announced that its new WebM video file format would
 8 incorporate the VP8 codec.

9 42. YouTube now uses WebM/VP8 video and has committed to encode its entire
 10 portfolio of videos to WebM.

11 43. WebM was enabled in the Google Android operating system in late 2010.

12 44. After the release of WebM/VP8 by Google, however, numerous reviews by the
 13 public concluded that the video quality of WebM/VP8 was significantly weaker than the quality
 14 produced using H.264.

Google's Discussions with VSL

15 45. In March 2010, with the understanding that WebM/VP8 was in desperate need of
 16 improvement, Alpesh Patel, VSL's CEO at that time, communicated with Google's Nikesh Arora
 17 to discuss licensing VSL's video technology and/or the possible acquisition of VSL and the '339
 18 Patent by Google.

19 46. In April 2010, Mr. Patel and Megan Smith, Google's Vice President of New
 20 Business Development, executed a non-disclosure agreement ("NDA") for the purpose of
 21 engaging in negotiations regarding VSL's technology.

22 47. During those negotiations, Laura Majerus, one of Google's in-house counsel,
 23 advised that if VSL's patent portfolio read on the H.264 video codec, then Google would seek to
 24 buy the technology or to acquire VSL.

25 48. During the course of the negotiations and pursuant to the NDA, VSL provided a
 26 working VSL codec to Google for testing and analysis, and further provided copies of VSL's
 27 patents, patent applications (including the patent application that led to the '339 Patent), and claim

charts comparing the inventions claimed in the '339 Patent to the H.264 standard.

49. The parties continued to meet over the course of the next eight months. During the course of those meetings, Google requested, and VSL provided, technical guidance to Google regarding the implementation of VSL's technology, the VSL Codec, and the inventions claimed in the '339 Patent.

50. By December 2010, negotiations between the parties had stalled, and the parties terminated discussions.

51. On December 16, 2010, Ms. Majerus shipped back to VSL materials that VSL had provided to Google pursuant to the NDA. Ms. Majerus included a cover letter that provided an itemized list of documents and other things being returned to VSL pursuant to the NDA, with the only apparent missing components being the claim charts comparing the inventions claimed in the '339 Patent to the H.264 standard.

52. On information and belief, Google began to incorporate VSL's patented technology into WebM/VP8 soon after it initiated negotiations with VSL and received from VSL confidential information regarding VSL's patent portfolio.

53. Indeed, subsequent to meeting with VSL, Google and On2 either amended a number of their pending patent applications to incorporate various claims of the '339 Patent or filed for new patents which incorporated various claims of the '339 Patent, without disclosing to the United States Patent and Trademark Office the '339 Patent or its underlying application as prior art or Ms. Nash or Mr. Krichevsky as prior inventors. Nonetheless, Defendants' incorporation of claims of the '339 Patent into their patent applications and patents constitute tacit admissions of Defendants' infringement of the '339 Patent.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Infringement of the '339 Patent)

25 54. VSL incorporates paragraphs 1 through 59 as though fully set forth herein.

55. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and now are directly infringing one or more claims of the '339 Patent by making, importing, using (including use for testing purposes), offering for sale, and/or selling the patented inventions, including but not limited to the

1 Accused Instrumentalities.

2 56. In addition and/or in the alternative, Defendants have been and/or now are
 3 indirectly infringing one or more claims of the Asserted Patent by inducing customers, consumers,
 4 and end users to use the Accused Instrumentalities to directly infringe one or more claims of the
 5 Asserted Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

6 57. Google was informed in 2010 of the pending application that became the '339
 7 Patent and had actual knowledge of the applicability of the inventions claimed therein to video
 8 codecs such as those used in the Accused Instrumentalities.

9 58. Furthermore, Google has been provided actual notice of the existence of the '339
 10 Patent.

11 59. In spite of having received such notice, Google and its Defendant subsidiaries have
 12 intended, and continue to intend, to induce patent infringement by customers and end users, and
 13 have had knowledge that the inducing acts would cause infringement or, alternatively, have been
 14 willfully blind to the possibility that its inducing acts would cause infringement.

15 60. The Accused Instrumentalities comprise the systems claimed in one or more claims
 16 of the '339 Patent, and, when used as described in Defendants' technical publications, perform the
 17 method(s) described and claimed in the Asserted Patent.

18 61. Defendants have engaged in indirect infringement by providing their customers and
 19 end users with the infringing Accused Instrumentalities, and/or by providing the Accused
 20 Instrumentalities and providing instructions to enable those customers and end users to use the
 21 Accused Instrumentalities, each of which constitute the system claimed in one or more claims of
 22 the '339 Patent, and/or to utilize the Accused Instrumentalities so as to practice the method
 23 claimed in one or more claims of the '339 Patent.

24 62. By way of example, and not as a limitation, Defendants induce such infringement
 25 by at least making its Internet websites available to customers and end users and providing links
 26 and/or other directions on its websites and/or the Internet to instruct and teach users to use the
 27 Accused Instrumentalities in an infringing manner.

28 63. Defendants engaged – and continue to engage – in such activities knowingly, and,

1 as early as 2010, have done so with the knowledge that such activities induce customers and end
 2 users to directly infringe the Asserted Patent.

3 64. In addition, or, in the alternative, Defendants engaged – and continue to engage – in
 4 such activities knowingly, and, as early as 2010, have sold or distributed the Accused
 5 Instrumentalities knowing that such Accused Instrumentalities are especially made or adapted for
 6 use by their customers and end users in an infringing use of one or more claims of the Accused
 7 Instrumentalities.

8 65. On information and belief, Defendants' customers and end users configure the
 9 Accused Instrumentalities to encode and/or decode digital video as described and claimed in the
 10 Asserted Patent. Thus, Defendants' customers and end users, by using the Accused
 11 Instrumentalities, directly infringe the claimed method(s) of the Asserted Patent.

12 66. VSL and Max Sound have been damaged by Defendants' infringing activities and
 13 will be irreparably harmed unless those infringing activities are preliminarily and permanently
 14 enjoined by this Court.

15 67. Google and its Defendant subsidiaries either had actual knowledge of the '339
 16 Patent or recklessly disregarded the existence of the '339 Patent, so VSL and Max Sound are
 17 entitled to damages against Google for indirect infringement for the period prior to the filing of
 18 this Complaint through the date of trial.

19 68. Defendants' infringement of the '339 Patent is and has been willful.

20 69. Defendants' past and continued infringement of the '339 Patent has caused VSL
 21 and Max Sound damage and will continue to cause irreparable damage to VSL and Max Sound
 22 unless Google is enjoined by this Court.

23 70. VSL and Max Sound do not have an adequate remedy at law.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

25 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs VSL and Max Sound request the following relief:

26 a. A judgment in favor of VSL and Max Sound that Defendants have directly
 27 infringed, and/or have indirectly infringed by way of inducement, one or more claims of the
 28 Asserted Patent and that such infringement has been willful;

b. A judgment that VSL and Max Sound have been irreparably harmed by the Defendants' infringing activities and are likely to continue to be irreparably harmed by Google's continued infringement;

c. Imposition of preliminary and permanent injunctions prohibiting Defendants and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, as well as all successors or assignees of the interests or assets related to the Accused Instrumentalities, from further infringement, direct and indirect, of the Asserted Patent;

d. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to pay VSL and Max Sound damages adequate to compensate for infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 284, which damages may include lost profits but in no event shall be less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the inventions of the Asserted Patent, including pre- and post-judgment interest and costs, including expenses and disbursements;

e. A judgment awarding treble damages to VSL and Max Sound pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, in view of the willful and deliberate nature of the infringement, with interest;

f. A judgment declaring this to be an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding VSL and Max Sound their attorneys' fees;

g. Pre- and post-judgment interest as permitted by law; and

h. Any and all such further necessary or proper relief as this Court may deem just.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, VSL and Max Sound hereby demand a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

1 Dated: October 1, 2014

WALKUP, MELODIA, KELLY & SCHOENBERGER

2
3
4 MICIAEL A. KELLY
5 MATTHEW D. DAVIS
6 KHALDOUN A. BAGHDADI
7 JAY W. EISENHOFER
8 GEOFFREY C. JARVIS
9 DEBORAH ELMAN
10 ADAM J. LEVITT
11 CATHERINE Ó SÚILLEABHÁIN
12 CHRISTOPHER M. JOE
13 ERIC W. BUETHER
14 BRIAN A. CARPENTER
15 MARK A. PERANTIE
16 Attorneys for Plaintiff Max Sound Corporation
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 1



US007974339B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Krichevsky et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** US 7,974,339 B2
(45) **Date of Patent:** Jul. 5, 2011

(54) **OPTIMIZED DATA TRANSMISSION SYSTEM AND METHOD**

(76) Inventors: **Alex Krichevsky**, Laguna Beach, CA (US); **Constance Nash**, Laguna Beach, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1401 days.

(21) Appl. No.: 10/892,690

(22) Filed: Jul. 16, 2004

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2004/0258150 A1 Dec. 23, 2004

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. PCT/US02/00503, filed on Jan. 16, 2002.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
H04N 7/12 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** 375/240.01

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 375/240.01, 375/240.15, 240.23; 380/54; 382/239, 236; 348/699

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,776,013 A * 10/1988 Kafri et al. 380/54
4,785,349 A * 11/1988 Keith et al. 375/240.23

5,778,092 A	7/1998	MacLeod et al.
5,784,175 A	7/1998	Lee
5,838,333 A *	11/1998	Matsuo
5,878,169 A *	3/1999	Miyamoto
6,014,181 A *	1/2000	Sun
6,078,307 A *	6/2000	Daly
6,198,467 B1 *	3/2001	Chiang
6,326,981 B1 *	12/2001	Mori et al.
6,473,062 B1 *	10/2002	Debiez et al.
6,608,632 B2 *	8/2003	Daly et al.
7,050,639 B1 *	5/2006	Barnes et al.
7,551,189 B2 *	6/2009	Hunter

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0 712 088 A2	5/1996
EP	1 006 715 A2	6/2000
EP	1 006 717 A2	6/2000

OTHER PUBLICATIONS


Supplementary European Search Report, dated Sep. 23, 2005.
Strobach, Tree Structured Scene Adaptive Coder, IEEE Transactions on Communications, Apr. 1990, vol. 38, No. 4, pp. 477-486.

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner — Tung Vo(57) **ABSTRACT**

A system for transmitting data is provided. The system includes a frame analysis system receiving frame data, such as a frame of video data, and generating region data, such as a uniform matrix size that is used to divide the frame into a predetermined set of matrices. A pixel selection system receives the region data and generates one set of pixel data for each region, such as by selecting one of the pixels contained within each of the original matrices that comprise the frame.

13 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets



U.S. Patent

Jul. 5, 2011

Sheet 1 of 4

US 7,974,339 B2




FIGURE 1






FIGURE 2



U.S. Patent

Jul. 5, 2011

Sheet 2 of 4

US 7,974,339 B2




FIGURE 3

300 ↑




FIGURE 4

400 ↑




FIGURE 5




500 ↑

U.S. Patent

Jul. 5, 2011

Sheet 3 of 4

US 7,974,339 B2



U.S. Patent

Jul. 5, 2011

Sheet 4 of 4

US 7,974,339 B2




FIGURE 9 900 ↑




FIGURE 10 1000 ↑

US 7,974,339 B2

1**OPTIMIZED DATA TRANSMISSION SYSTEM AND METHOD****FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention pertains to the field of data transmission, and more particularly to a system and method for optimizing data transmission that decreases bandwidth requirements for data transmission.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Data transmission systems are known in the art. Such data transmission systems often use compression to decrease bandwidth requirements. For example, compression techniques have been characterized as "lossless" when no reduction in data occurs, or "lossy" when a loss of data occurs that does not adversely affect the intended use.

One drawback with such data transmission systems is that the compressed data must be "decompressed" on the receiving end. Thus, for lossless data compression systems, the exact configuration of the data must be achieved when the data is decompressed. Likewise, even for lossy data compression systems, the data is decompressed and the lost data is then approximated. The need for such decompression contributes to the overall difficulty in implementing data transmission in conjunction with compression.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with the present invention, a system and method for transmitting data are provided that overcome known problems with data transmission systems and methods.

In particular, a system and method for data transmission are provided that use data optimization instead of compression, so as to provide a mixed lossless and lossy data transmission technique.

In accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, a system for transmitting data is provided. The system includes a frame analysis system receiving frame data, such as a frame of video data, audio data, graphical data, text data, or other suitable data, and generating region data, such as a uniform matrix size that is used to divide the frame into a predetermined set of matrices. A pixel selection system receives the region data and generates one set of pixel data for each region, such as by selecting one of the pixels contained within each of the original matrices that comprise the frame. For data that is used for purposes other than the generation of a display, the pixel data can instead be audio data, text data, or other suitable data.

The present invention provides many important technical advantages. One important technical advantage of the present invention is a system and method for transmitting data that do not require the data to be compressed at the sending end and decompressed at the receiving end. The present invention uses data optimization to transmit only the data that is necessary for the application, such that decompression of the data on the receiving end is not required. In this manner, the present invention incorporates features of both lossless and lossy compression without requiring the data to be decompressed on the receiving end.

Those skilled in the art will further appreciate the advantages and superior features of the invention together with other important aspects thereof on reading the detailed description that follows in conjunction with the drawings.

2**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

FIG. 1 is a diagram of a system for transmitting data in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a diagram of a system for performing frame analysis in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 3 is a diagram of a system for selecting optimized pixel data for transmission in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 4 is a diagram of a system for generating a frame in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 5 is a flow chart of a method for optimizing data transmission in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 6 is a flowchart of a method for determining or assigning matrix or region size based on an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 7 is a flowchart of a method for selecting a pixel within a region in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 8 is a flowchart for method for generating optimized frame data in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 9 is a diagram 900 showing an exemplary uniform matrix segmentation of an array of pixel data; and

FIG. 10 is a diagram 1000 showing an exemplary non-uniform matrix segmentation of an array of pixel data.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

In the description that follows, like parts are marked throughout the specification and drawings with the same reference numerals, respectively. The drawing figures might not be to scale, and certain components can be shown in generalized or schematic form and identified by commercial designations in the interest of clarity and conciseness.

FIG. 1 is a diagram of a system 100 for transmitting data in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. System 100 allows data such as video data to be transmitted in a manner that does not require the data to be compressed, and which results in significant decreases in bandwidth requirements for data transmission.

System 100 includes data transmission system 102, which is coupled to data receiving system 104 over a suitable communications medium 114. As used herein, the term "couple" and its cognate terms, such as "couples" and "coupled," can include a physical connection (such as a copper conductor), a virtual connection (such as through randomly assigned memory locations of a data memory device), a logical connection (such as through logical gates of a semiconducting device), other suitable connections, or a suitable combination of such connections. In one exemplary embodiment, systems and components are coupled to other systems and components through intervening systems and components, such as through an operating system of a general purpose computing platform. Communications medium 114 can be the Internet, the public switched telephone network, a wireless network, a local area network, an optical network, other suitable communications media, or a suitable combination of such communications media.

Data transmission system 102 includes frame analysis system 106 and pixel selection system 108, each of which can be implemented in hardware, software, or a suitable combina-

US 7,974,339 B2

3

tion of hardware and software, and which can be one or more software systems operating on a general purpose processing platform. As used herein, a software system can include one or more objects, agents, threads, lines of code, subroutines, separate software applications, user-readable (source) code, machine-readable (object) code, two or more lines of code in two or more corresponding software applications, databases, or other suitable software architectures. In one exemplary embodiment, a software system can include one or more lines of code in a general purpose software application, such as an operating system, and one or more lines of software in a specific purpose software application.

Data transmission system 102 reduces data transmission requirements by eliminating data that is not required for the application of the data on the receiving end. In one exemplary embodiment, data transmission system 102 can receive frames of video data, and can select pixels of data for transmission that are needed in order to allow the frames of video data to be viewed by the human eye. In this exemplary embodiment, a video display having a quiescent state of pixels in either the "on" or "off" states can be used to generate video data by selecting a subset of pixels within the frame to display image data. In this exemplary embodiment, if a frame of video data has low detail, it may only be necessary to provide a data value for one of every twenty-five pixels or less in order to create the image to be viewed by the human eye. Likewise, if the frame of video data has a large amount of detail, it may be necessary to transmit each pixel in order to generate a suitable image. When a frame of video data includes regions of high detail and low detail, it may likewise be desirable to transmit only the necessary number of pixels in each region that are required to generate the image. In this exemplary embodiment, the number of pixels to transmit can be decided on a region-by-region basis within the frame.

Data receiving system 104 includes pixel data system 110 and display generation system 112, each of which can be implemented in hardware, software, or a suitable combination of hardware and software, and which can be one or more software systems operating on a general purpose processor platform. Data receiving system 104 receives the data from data transmission system 102, and generates a display for a user that utilizes the optimized data set transmitted by data transmission system 102. In one exemplary embodiment, data receiving system 104 can generate a video display, such as by illuminating predetermined pixels within a frame based on the determination of the level of detail required for the frame, and by leaving the remaining pixels in a quiescent state of either "off" or "on." Likewise, data receiving system 104 can generate frames of video data that have variable levels of detail, to accommodate the image data being transmitted.

Frame analysis system 106 receives frame data including pixel data and generates matrix size data based upon the pixel data. In one exemplary embodiment, frame analysis system 106 can analyze adjacent pixel data values in the frame, and can apply one or more predetermined variation tolerances to select a matrix size for a data optimization region. In this exemplary embodiment, the matrix size for each data optimization region of a frame can be uniform, such that each data optimization matrix has the same dimensions. Thus, if a 640×480 pixel frame is being transmitted, then the 640×480 pixel frame can be split up into a 64×48 frame of matrices, where each matrix is a 10×10 matrix. Likewise, frame analysis system 106 can assign a different matrix size on a frame by frame basis, such as where a first frame is transmitted using 10×10 matrices for a 64×48 matrix frame, and a subsequent frame could then be transmitted using 5×5 data matrices, for a 128×96 matrix frame. In another exemplary embodiment, the

4

size of matrices within the frame can be varied, such that a given frame is made up of matrices varying in size, such as from a 1×1 matrix to a 5×5 matrix or greater. In yet another exemplary embodiment, the size of the matrices can be non-symmetrical, such that an N×M matrix can be used where N and M are integer values that are not equivalent. Likewise, other suitable data optimization regions can be selected, such as ones that are not based on a matrix structure, but which may be circular, elliptical, amorphous, or based on other suitable structures.

Pixel selection system 108 selects one or more pixel within a predefined matrix or other region for transmission in an optimized data transmission system. In one exemplary embodiment, pixel selection system 108 can randomly select a pixel from a location within a matrix or other region, can use a sequence selection scheme such that the pixel is selected in accordance with a predetermined sequence, or other suitable selection criteria can be used. Pixel selection system 108 can further generate pixel location data within the matrix, such that the pixel can be regenerated at a predetermined location, at a random location, or in other suitable manners. For example, if a predetermined location is used, the predetermined location can be the same for each matrix or other region, such as by assigning a quadrant or other location (e.g., the first row and column position in the matrix). Likewise, if randomization is used, control data can be generated that will cause data receiving system 104 to randomize the location of each pixel in each matrix or other region without requiring individual control data for each matrix or other region. Likewise, other suitable pixel selection data can be generated.

Pixel data system 110 receives matrix data and pixel data and assembles frame data based on the matrix data and pixel data. In one exemplary embodiment, pixel data system 110 receives a matrix size identifier for an entire frame, such that it can be determined that a uniform matrix size is used for each frame. Likewise, pixel data system 110 can receive matrix map data, such that a sequence of matrices and the size of each matrix can be determined. Likewise, pixel data system 110 can receive pixel data for each matrix, such as pixel data with each matrix identifier, pixel data in a predetermined order based on the order of matrix data transmitted, or other suitable data.

Display generation system 112 receives frames of data from pixel data system 110 and generates video data, audio data, graphical data, textual data, or other suitable data for use by a user. In one exemplary embodiment, display generation system 112 receives an entire frame of data after it has been reconstructed by pixel data system 110. In another exemplary embodiment, display generation system 112 can receive frame data as it is generated by pixel data system 110 prior to the generation of the entire frame. Other suitable configurations can be used.

In operation, system 100 allows data transmission to be optimized so as to decrease bandwidth requirements. System 100 determines the optimal data for transmission based on the end use of the data. For example, system 100 can reduce the data transmitted for video display generation, such as by determining the level of detail required, and then transmitting data based on the level of detail required. Likewise, similar optimization processes can be used for audio data, graphical data, textual data, or other suitable data. Thus, system 100 is a lossy data transmission system, but can also be a lossless data transmission system depending on the data fields within a set of data for which lossy or lossless data transmission is desired. In this exemplary embodiment, system 100 allows data sets to be processed in a manner that allows data trans-

US 7,974,339 B2

5

mission to be both lossless and lossy based on application criteria for the data on the receiving end.

System 100 can also be used in conjunction with a compression system, a frame elimination system, or with other suitable systems or processes to achieve further savings in bandwidth requirements. For example, after data optimization has been achieved, the optimized data can then be compressed using a lossy or lossless compression technique. Likewise, frame elimination can be used where such techniques do not result in an unacceptable decrease in the quality of the data at the receiving end.

FIG. 2 is a diagram of a system 200 for performing frame analysis in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. System 200 includes frame analysis system 106 and pixel variation system 202, matrix size system 204, and matrix identification system 206, each of which can be implemented in hardware, software, or a suitable combination of hardware and software, and which can be one or more software systems operating on a general purpose processing platform.

Pixel variation system 202 determines the level of detail required based on variations in pixel data. In one exemplary embodiment, pixel variation system 202 can receive pixel data values, such as (x/y/z) in a suitable pixel color pixel system (e.g., 16-bit values for R/G/B, Y/Cb/Cr, Y/U/V, or other suitable color data formats). Pixel variation system 202 can then compare two adjacent pixels to determine whether the amount of variation between those two adjacent pixels exceeds a predetermined tolerance, such that the amount of pixel data required to transmit image data or other suitable data for perception by a human eye or other suitable applications can be determined. In this exemplary embodiment, pixel variation system 202 can have a number of tolerance settings, so that a matrix size, region, or other data optimization set can be determined. For example, consider the following pixel set:

P1(121/34/187) P2(119/39/198) P3(117/42/202)

In this example, the variation between P1 and P2 may be lower than a first tolerance for the purposes of selecting a first data set, such as a 2x2 matrix, but the variation between P1 and P3 may be greater than a second tolerance for the purpose of selecting a second data set, such as a 3x3 matrix. In this manner, increasing groups of pixels can be analyzed so as to insure that desired levels of detail are not inadvertently omitted. For example, if video data includes an image of an essentially uniform object, such as the ocean or a grassy field, and where that essentially uniform object has details that are nonetheless of interest to the viewer, such as wave whitecaps or wildflowers, pixel variation system 202 can include tolerance settings such that variations between pixels that identify such details would be identified, but where such variations between two adjacent pixels within the field would be ignored. Likewise, other suitable pixel variation detection functionality can be provided.

Matrix size system 204 generates matrix size data based on pixel variation data. In one exemplary embodiment, matrix size system 204 can receive pixel variation data based on an analysis of an entire frame of data, such that a uniform matrix size can be assigned. Likewise, matrix size system 204 can receive pixel variation data from pixel variation system 202, and can generate nonsymmetrical matrix dimensional data, such as NxM dimensions where "N" and "M" are integers that are not equivalent, circular region data, elliptical region data, amorphous region data, or other suitable region identification data. Matrix size system 204 can also generate matrix size control data, such as where a non-uniform matrix or region size is used within a frame. In this exemplary embodiment, matrix size system 204 can identify a sequence for

6

matrices, coordinate data for matrices, size data for matrices, or other suitable data that can be used to assemble or sequence pixel data within matrices.

Matrix identification system 206 receives matrix size data and generates matrix identification data. In one exemplary embodiment, matrix identification system 206 can receive matrix sequence data, and can assemble the matrix sequence data for use with pixel data generated by pixel selection system 108. In this exemplary embodiment, matrix identification system 206 can identify whether a uniform matrix size is being generated, the number of matrices within a frame, sequence data for the matrices when a non-uniform matrix or region is being used, or other suitable data. Matrix identification system 206 generates matrix identification data for use by data receiving system 104, so as to allow data receiving system 104 to generate the optimized data display.

In operation, system 200 allows frames to be analyzed so as to determine the optimal data to be transmitted, based on the intended use of the frame. In one exemplary embodiment, pixel variation system 202 or other suitable systems can be used to identify lossy and lossless regions within the frame. Likewise, uniform lossy regions can be identified, such as matrices having predetermined dimensions of greater than 1x1 or other suitable data.

FIG. 3 is a diagram of a system 300 for selecting optimized pixel data for transmission in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. System 300 includes pixel selection system 108 and pixel randomizer system 302, pixel sequencer system 304, and pixel identification system 306, each of which can be implemented in hardware, software, or a suitable combination of hardware and software, and which can be one or more software systems operating on a general purpose processor platform.

Pixel randomizer system 302 selects a random pixel within a matrix or other region. In one exemplary embodiment, pixel randomizer system 302 can generate a random number and can select a pixel based upon a pixel sequence and the relationship of the generated random number to that pixel sequence. In this exemplary embodiment, pixel randomizer system 302 can generate a random number between 0 and 1, and can multiply that random number times the number of pixels within a region, and can then select the pixel based upon a pixel sequence from a predetermined location. Likewise, other suitable random pixel selection processes can be used. Pixel randomizer system 302 generates random pixel location data and random pixel value data.

Pixel sequencer system 304 generates pixel selection data based on pixel sequence data. In one exemplary embodiment, such as when a uniform frame matrix size is being used, pixel sequencer system 304 can select pixels in a predetermined order, such that if a 3x3 matrix is used uniformly across the frame, the pixel at location (1,1) is transmitted in the first frame, the pixel at location (1,2) is transmitted in the second frame, the pixel at location (1,3) is transmitted in the third frame, and so forth, until the pixel at location (3,3) has been transmitted, after which the pixel at location (1,1) will be transmitted. Pixel sequencer system 304 can likewise send other suitable sequences, such as skipping every other pixel, skipping pixels based on predetermined display generation characteristics, or other suitable sequences.

Pixel identification system 306 generates pixel identification data, such as may be required by a data receiving system to illuminate the pixel in a display. In one exemplary embodiment, pixel identification system 306 can identify the coordinates of a pixel where generation of the pixel by the data receiving system at the exact location is desired. Likewise, pixel identification system 306 can identify a uniform pixel

US 7,974,339 B2

7

location within each matrix or other region, such as location (1,1) for all matrices, such as randomizer control data that will randomly place a pixel within a matrix or region, or other suitable pixel identification data.

In operation, system 300 allows a pixel within a matrix or other suitable region to be selected based on data optimization. System 300 allows random, sequenced, or other suitable processes to be used to select and locate pixels within optimized regions.

FIG. 4 is a diagram of a system 400 for generating a frame in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. System 400 includes pixel data system 110, matrix definition system 402 and pixel location system 404, each of which can be implemented in hardware, software, or a suitable combination of hardware and software, and which can be one or more software systems operating on a general purpose processor platform.

Matrix definition system 402 receives matrix definition data for use in generating frame data. In one exemplary embodiment, matrix definition data can include data that identifies a uniform matrix size throughout the frame. In another exemplary embodiment, matrix definition data can include data that identifies matrix dimensions and sequences, so that a sequence of non-similar matrices can be assembled into a frame. Likewise, matrix definition system 402 can include region definition data, such as for ellipses, circles, amorphous shapes, or other suitable definition data.

Pixel location system 404 receives pixel location data for locating a pixel within a matrix or other region. In one exemplary embodiment, pixel location system 404 can receive data that locates pixels for each matrix within a frame on a uniform basis, such that each pixel received will be generated in a predetermined location (e.g. (1,1) in a 3x3 matrix). Likewise, pixel location system 404 can receive randomization data, such that the location of a pixel within a matrix or other region is randomly assigned. In yet another exemplary embodiment, pixel location system 404 can receive exact coordinates for placement of pixels. Other suitable processes can be implemented by pixel location system 404.

In operation, system 400 is used to locate pixels of data within a matrix or other region in an optimized data transmission system. System 400 thus allows optimized data, such as video data, audio data, or other suitable data, to be used to generate a display, an audio stream, graphic images, textual data, and other suitable data on a frame by frame basis.

FIG. 5 is a flow chart of a method 500 for optimizing data transmission in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. Method 500 begins at 502 where a matrix size is determined. In one exemplary embodiment, the matrix size can be uniformly assigned across the frame, a matrix size can be assigned based upon regions within the frame, a region other than a matrix can be used, or other suitable matrix sizes or region sizes can be determined. The method then proceeds to 504.

At 504 a pixel within the matrix is selected. In one exemplary embodiment, the pixel can be selected based on a predetermined location within the matrix, such as when uniform matrix sizes are used within a frame, or in other suitable manners. In another exemplary embodiment, pixel selection can be performed based on random selection, based upon predetermined rules regarding selection of pixels, or in other suitable manners. The method then proceeds to 506.

At 506 the matrix and pixel data is transmitted. In one exemplary embodiment, the matrix and pixel data can be transmitted in pairs, such that each set of matrix definition data or location data is paired with corresponding pixel brightness data. Likewise, matrix data and pixel data can be

8

transmitted in sequence, such that the sequence of matrix data is received first, and the sequence of pixel data for each corresponding matrix is then received. Other suitable transmission sequences can likewise be used. The method then proceeds to 508.

At 508 the matrix and pixel data is assembled into a frame. In one exemplary embodiment, the frame assembly can be performed on a line-by-line basis, such that each line of data can be generated as it is created. In another exemplary embodiment, an entire frame of data can be generated prior to utilization of the frame of data. The method then proceeds to 510.

At 510 a display is generated using the matrix and pixel data. As previously described, the display can be generated from an entire frame data set after it has been completed. Likewise, the display can be generated on a line-by-line basis, audio streams or graphical displays can be generated, or other suitable displays can be generated. The method then proceeds to 512.

At 512 it is determined whether a frame is completed. If the frame is not completed the method returns to 508. Otherwise the frame is complete, the method proceeds to 514 and the method proceeds to the next frame.

FIG. 6 is a flowchart of a method 600 for determining or assigning matrix or region size based on an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. Method 600 begins at 602 where a pixel variation is determined. In one exemplary embodiment, the pixel data values for two adjacent pixels can be compared, and it can be determined whether the variations between the two adjacent pixel data values exceed predetermined allowable variations. The suitable variation techniques can be implemented. The method then proceeds to 604.

At 604 it is determined whether the variation is greater than a predetermined tolerance. If it is determined at 604 that the variation is greater than the tolerance the method proceeds to 606 where the matrix size is assigned based on the number of pixels under consideration. In one exemplary embodiment, if a first and second pixel have been compared and it is determined that the variation between pixels exceeds the tolerance, then a matrix size of 1x1 (i.e., an individual pixel), can be transmitted such that data transmission is lossless. If it is determined that the variation is not greater than the tolerance, the method proceeds to 608.

At 608, the next pixel is selected. In one exemplary embodiment, the next pixel can be selected based upon an N×N matrix size, an NxM matrix size, a circular region size, an elliptical region size, an amorphous region size, or other suitable regions. The method then proceeds to 610.

At 610 the tolerance is modified. In one exemplary embodiment, the tolerance can be modified with each increasing region size, such that smaller tolerances are imposed for larger regions. Likewise, a maximum region size can be imposed such that the tolerance is set to zero. Likewise, if it is determined at 604 that the maximum region size has been reached, the method can proceed directly to 606. After the tolerance is modified at 610, the method returns to 602.

In operation, method 600 allows a matrix or other suitable region size to be determined based upon pixel variations. In one exemplary embodiment, pixel variation can be determined at 602 on a frame basis, such that a first tolerance is set for having uniform matrices of 2x2 size, a second tolerance is set for having uniform matrices of a 3x3 size, and other suitable tolerances can be used. Likewise, tolerances can be set and regions can be determined based on a region-by-region basis, such that in areas having low information content, the matrix size is increased whereas in areas having high information content the matrix size is decreased. In one

US 7,974,339 B2

9

exemplary embodiment, the matrix size can be decreased to 1×1 , such that in areas having high information content, the data transmission can be lossless, but in areas having low information content, the data transmission can be lossy.

FIG. 7 is a flowchart of a method 700 for selecting a pixel within a region in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. Method 700 begins at 702 where matrix or other region data is received. In one exemplary embodiment, the matrix data can include a matrix size, a region size, a region boundary for amorphous regions, or other suitable data. The method then proceeds to 704.

At 704, it is determined whether random or sequencing selection is being used for selecting the pixel data. If it is determined that sequencing data is used, the method proceeds to 706 where the sequence data is obtained. In one exemplary embodiment, such as where a uniform matrix size is used within the frame, a sequence of pixels can be used such that the pixels are “swept” across the matrix. Likewise, other suitable sequence data can be used. After the sequence is obtained at 706 the method proceeds to 708 where a pixel is selected based on the sequence data. The pixel data for the matrix is then stored.

Likewise, if it is determined at 704 that a random pixel selection is being used, the method proceeds to 710 where a random number is generated. The method then proceeds to 712.

At 712 a pixel is selected based on a random number. In one exemplary embodiment, a random number generated between 0 and 1 can be multiplied by the number of pixels within the matrix or region, and a predetermined sequence can be used to select the pixel. Likewise, the pixel data values can be randomly identified or other suitable random selection processes can be used.

In operation, method 700 allows pixel data within a matrix or other region to be selected, which are based on sequencing, random selection, or in other suitable manners. Method 700 allows pixel data for optimized data applications to be used, such as where video data having low information content and regions of high information content are being transmitted or in other suitable applications.

FIG. 8 is a flowchart of a method 800 for generating optimized frame data in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. Method 800 begins at 802 where matrix and pixel data are received. In one exemplary embodiment, the matrix and pixel data can include a standard or uniform matrix size and a sequence of pixels for the frame. In another exemplary embodiment, the matrix and pixel data can include a sequence of matrix sizes that define a frame and a sequence of pixels for generation within each matrix. In yet another exemplary embodiment, the matrix and pixel data can be region and pixel data, such as where the region data defines one or more regions within a frame and the pixel data includes points that go within that region. Other suitable data can also be used, such as audio data, graphical data, text data, or other suitable data. The method then proceeds to 802.

At 802 the matrix and pixel data are combined into a frame. In one exemplary embodiment, the frame can be generated on a line-by-line basis, such that the matrices are assembled in rows and that the pixel for each matrix are assigned after a row is complete. In this exemplary embodiment, such as where matrix sizes exceed 2×2 , then assembling a row of matrices can result in the assembly of two or more lines of data, such as where video data is generated by scanning lines from the top of a display to the bottom of a display. In this exemplary embodiment, the frame can be generated as each line is completed. The method then proceeds to 804.

10

At 804 it is determined whether the frame has been completed. In one exemplary embodiment, an entire frame of data can be constituted prior to generation of the frame. Likewise, in another exemplary embodiment, the data can be generated on a line-by-line basis, so that the data does not need to be buffered until a complete frame is generated. Other suitable processes can also be used. If it is determined at 804 that the frame is not complete, the method returns to 800. Otherwise, the method proceeds to 804 and advances to the next frame.

In operation, method 800 allows frames of data, such as video data, audio data, graphical data, textual data, or other suitable data, to be generated in an optimized manner, such that lossy, lossless, or a combination of lossy and lossless data transmission is used based upon the end use of the data. In this exemplary embodiment, uniform or non-uniform matrices or regions can be used, such that only one of two or more points of data within a data set for each region needs to be transmitted.

FIG. 9 is a diagram 900 showing an exemplary uniform matrix segmentation of an array of pixel data. Each matrix of the array is of uniform size, such as 4×4 . Thus, the matrix size data for the entire frame can be represented by a single data set.

In the first row of matrices, the location “X” of a single pixel of data is identical (e.g. (2,2)), such as where the location of the pixel in each matrix is based on sequential data. In the second row, the location of the pixel in each matrix is different, such as where the location of the pixel in each matrix is random. In this exemplary embodiment, the matrix data can include the single uniform size (e.g. 4×4), the pixel location data can include the location of the pixel in each matrix (e.g. (2,2), “random,” or the coordinates of each pixel starting with the first matrix and sweeping across from left to right until the last matrix in the last row is reached (e.g. (4,1) (1,4), (3,2), (3,4)) and the pixel data for each matrix can include the (X/Y/Z) data, such as where the pixel is a color pixel. Likewise, other suitable data can be used.

FIG. 10 is a diagram 1000 showing an exemplary non-uniform matrix segmentation of an array of pixel data. Each matrix of the array can be of different size, but the matrices must form the array when combined. In each matrix, the location of the pixel in each matrix is different, such as where the location of the pixel in each matrix is random. In this exemplary embodiment, the matrix data can include the size of each matrix in series, starting from the first matrix and sweeping across from left to right until the last matrix is reached (e.g. (7x3), (5x6), (5x4), (7x7), (2x3), (2x7)), the pixel location data can include the location of the pixel in each matrix (e.g. (2,2), “random,” or the coordinates of each pixel starting with the first matrix and sweeping across from left to right until the last matrix in the last row is reached (e.g. (2,1), (4,4), (2,2), (5,6), (2,2), (2,2)), and the pixel data for each matrix can include the (X/Y/Z) data, such as where the pixel is a color pixel. Likewise, other suitable data can be used.

Although exemplary embodiments of a system and method of the present invention have been described in detail herein, those skilled in the art will also recognize that various substitutions and modifications can be made to the systems and methods without departing from the scope and spirit of the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A system for transmitting data transmission comprising:
a analysis system receiving frame data and generating region data comprised of high detail and or low detail;
a pixel selection system receiving the region data and generating one set of pixel data for each region forming a new set of data for transmission;

US 7,974,339 B2

11

a data receiving system receiving the region data and the pixel data for each region and generating a display; wherein the data receiving system comprises a pixel data system receiving matrix definition data and pixel data and generating pixel location data;

wherein the data receiving system comprises a display generation system receiving pixel location data and generating display data that includes the pixel data placed according to the location data.

2. The system of claim 1 wherein the frame analysis system comprises a matrix size system receiving pixel variation data and generating matrix size data.

3. The system of claim 1 wherein the frame analysis system comprises a matrix identification system receiving matrix size data and generating matrix identification data.

4. The system of claim 1 wherein the pixel selection system comprises a pixel Randomizer system receiving two or more sets of pixel data for each region and randomly selecting one of the two or more sets of pixel data.

5. The system of claim 1 wherein the pixel selection system comprises a pixel sequencer system receiving two or more sets of pixel data for each region and selecting one of the two or more sets of pixel data based on sequence data.

6. The system of claim 1 wherein the pixel selection system comprises a pixel identification system generating pixel location data based on a location of the set of pixel data associated with each of the regions.

7. A method for transmitting data comprising:
receiving frame data;
generating optimized matrix data from the frame data;
selecting one of two or more sets of pixel data based on the optimized matrix data;
wherein receiving frame data comprises receiving an array of pixel data;

12

wherein generating the optimized matrix data from the frame data comprises setting a matrix size based on pixel selection data;

and transmitting the selection pixel data and the optimized matrix data by assembling the optimized matrix data and the selection pixel data into a generated display frame.

8. The method of claim 7 wherein selecting one of two or more sets of pixel data comprises selecting the pixel from a matrix of sets of pixel data.

9. The method of claim 7 wherein transmitting the pixel data and the matrix data comprises transmitting an array of pixel data and uniform matrix size data.

10. A method for transmitting data comprising:
dividing an array of pixel data into two or more regions;
selecting a set of pixel data from each region;
wherein dividing the array of pixel data comprises dividing the array of pixel data into two or more matrices having a uniform size;

wherein dividing the array of pixel data comprises dividing the array of pixel data into two or more matrices having two or more different sizes;
and transmitting the region data and the selection pixel data for each region by assembling the region data and the selection pixel data into a generated display frame.

11. The method of claim 10 wherein selecting the set of pixel data from each region comprises selecting a random set of pixel data.

12. The method of claim 10 wherein transmitting the region data and the pixel data for each region comprises transmitting matrix data and the pixel data for each matrix.

13. The system of claim 1 wherein the frame analysis system comprises a pixel variation system receiving two or more sets of pixel data and generating the region data based on pixel variation data from the two or more sets of pixel data.

* * * * *